

Committee: HEALTH AND HOUSING COMMITTEE
Date: 8th SEPTEMBER 2005
Title: BUDGETARY CONTROL REPORT 2005/06

Agenda Item

16

Author: Executive Managers
Philip O'Dell (Finance and Asset Strategy),
Diane Burrige (Environmental and Cultural
Services), Rod Chamberlain (Housing
Services)
01799 510510

Item for note

Summary

This report provides details of the Committee's spending and income compared to budget for the period 1st April to 31st July 2005

Recommendations

Members note the budgetary control position as at 31 July 2005

Background Papers

Budgetary Control working papers. Contact Philip O'Dell 01799-510670

Impact

Communication/Consultation	There are no specific communication/consultation implications contained in this report
Community Safety	There are no specific community safety implications contained in this report
Equalities	There are no specific equalities implications contained in this report
Finance	The report is about the Committee's budget position for the current financial year
Human Rights	There are no specific human rights implications contained in this report.

Legal implications	There are no specific legal implications contained in this report.
--------------------	--

Ward-specific impacts	The Committee's budgets relate to a wide variety of Wards within the District
Workforce/Workplace	There are notes as appropriate about staffing issues affecting the Committee's budget for the current year

Situation

1. The report is based on data held within the Council's Financial Management System for the period ending 31 July 2005. Relevant Executive Managers and their staff have been asked if they are aware of any significant variations, including any that may not be in the figures produced to date.

The table in the attached Appendix 1 to this report shows the following data;

- 2004/05 Actual spend (subject to Audit)
 - 2005/06 Budget
 - 2005/06 Profiled budget ('expected' spending or income to date)
 - 2005/06 Expenditure and Income to 31 July 2005
 - Over/underspend between profiled budget and actual to date
 - Actual expressed as a % of the profiled budget
 - Projected outturn (a judgement as to what the year end position will be)
 - Current Status of projected spend compared with budget (on line/under or heading for an overspend, as depicted by a smiling or sad face)
 - Notes to explain any apparent discrepancies
- 2 It can be seen from the data in the table that at this stage there is a variation between the spend at month 4 compared to the profiled budget for the same period of an underspend of approximately £34,500 (9.7% of profiled budget). Of this sum, £21,000 for Airport air and noise monitoring equipment will not be required at all as adequate provision for this exists within the Capital Programme. The revised budget will be adjusted downwards accordingly for the January committee cycle.

Risk Analysis

The following have been assessed as the potential risks associated with this issue.

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigating actions
Failure to highlight all significant budget variances at 31 st July	Low	Medium	Ongoing pro-active monitoring of budgets by officers concerned